UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

UNITED S	STATES OF AMERICA,)
	Plaintiff,)
)
V.) Case No. 1:17-cr-00224-AT-CMS
)
ALLEN J.	PENDERGRASS,)
	Defendant.)
)

MOTION PURSUANT TO FED. R. CRIM. P. 17(c) FOR ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM TO FULTON COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

Comes now Defendant, ALLEN J. PENDERGRASS, by and through undersigned counsel, and hereby files this Motion to direct that books, papers, recordings, and the other items requested pursuant to a validly issued subpoena be produced to the Court in advance of trial.

I. <u>INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF FACTS</u>

Mr. Pendergrass has filed a motion to dismiss the indictment in this case based on pre-indictment delay. He asserts that when he was in custody on charges from a different district, the government knew where he was located and failed to bring him to trial in this case. He asserts that records in the custody of the Fulton County District Attorney's Office will support his claims that a detainer related to the connected Fulton County case was placed upon him while he was in BOP

custody. These records should also confirm discussions between the Fulton County District Attorney's Office and the United States Attorney's Office about the decision to prosecute Mr. Pendergrass in federal court, rather than state court. Despite the fact that Fulton County maintains an open case against Mr. Pendergrass, it has never attempted to prosecute him. When counsel did an open records request for the Fulton County District Attorney's file, she was told the file is not in the possession of the DA's office. Again, this is the case despite the fact that there is still an open case against Mr. Pendergrass in Fulton County. Counsel also sent a letter to the Fulton County prosecutor listed on the case and has received no response.

Therefore, counsel believes the only way to secure these files is to issue a subpoena for the records.

II. ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITY

Prior to trial, litigants can avail themselves of the procedure set forth in Fed. R. Crim. P. 17(c), which provides that a subpoena may command the person to whom it is directed to produce the books, papers, and documents designated therein. Significantly, Rule 17(c) provides the court with discretion to order that such production be made prior to trial.

A. The Standard

In *United States v. Nixon*, 418 U.S. 683, 94 S.Ct 3090, 41 L.Ed 1039 (1974), the Supreme Court held that pre-trial production pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P 17(c) is only appropriate where it is shown that:

- (1) The documents are evidentiary and relevant;
- (2) They are not otherwise procurable, with due diligence, in advance of trial;
- (3) The party cannot properly prepare for trial without such production and inspection in advance of trial; and,
- (4) The application was made in good faith and is not a fishing expedition.

Id. at 699. It is left to the court's discretion to determine whether the party seeking the subpoena has cleared these hurdles. See United States v. Eden, 659 F. 2d 1376 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 949 (1982). Such subpoenas are routinely allowed by the District Courts for any manner of evidence, ranging from a victim's psychiatric records to witness interviews with media outlets. See United States v. The LaRouche Campaign, 841 F. 2d 1176 (1st Cir. 1988) (contempt order affirmed where broadcast company refused to comply with order to produce "outtakes" of an interview with a key trial witness); United States v. Armstrong, 955 F. Supp.

1225 (D. Mont. 1997) (17)(c) (subpoena issued to victim's psychiatrist as the potential evidentiary benefit outweighed any privilege); *United States v. Young*, 927 F. Supp. 373 (D. S.D. 1996) (complete medical records of victim ordered to be produced pursuant to subpoena duces tecum and privilege ordered waived). Such subpoenas have been upheld even where the President of the United States moved to quash a subpoena for tape recordings and documents. *See United States v. Nixon*, 418 U.S. 683 (1974). Even documents in the hands of the government are subject to subpoena. *See Bowman Dairy Co. v. United States*, 341 U.S. 214 (1951).

B. The Requested Subpoena

In this case, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court direct pre-trial compliance with a subpoena for production of a certified copy of the following documents:

- 1. The entire Fulton County District Attorney's file for case number 13CP139937 (or related cases against Allen Pendergrass) (*State v. Allen Pendergrass*), including police reports (APD case number 132261160-01), recordings, reports, notes, emails, or other information related to the investigation of Allen Pendergrass.
- 2. Any emails, records of calls, notes, memoranda, or other documents

showing communication between the Fulton County District Attorney's Office (or its representatives) and the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Georgia (or its representatives including Jeff Brown) regarding Allen Pendergrass and the potential prosecution of Mr. Pendergrass, and/or related to case number 13CP139937.

The subpoena would be directed to:

The Fulton County District Attorney's Office 136 Pryor Street SW Atlanta, GA 30303

Mr. Pendergrass asserts that the records are relevant to the current proceeding and have evidentiary value as outlined above. Counsel asserts that she cannot prepare adequately for the litigation in this case without the requested records.

This request is made in good faith and not for any purpose other than to preparation to litigate this case on behalf of Mr. Pendergrass.

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

WHEREFORE, for all the within and foregoing reasons, Defendant respectfully request that the Court exercise its discretion and direct the abovenamed entities to produce the requested books, papers, and documents to this Court so they can be examined by the parties in this case.

Respectfully submitted this 26th day of September 2019.

/s/ Saraliene DURRETT SARALIENE S. DURRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

UNITED S	TATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	,))	
v.) Case No. 1:17-0	er-00224-AT-CMS
ALLEN J.	PENDERGRASS, Defendant.)))	
		ORDE	<u>R</u>	
Defe	ndant's motion pursuant	to Fed. R	R. Crim. P. 17(c) 1	naving come before
the court, a	nd the court having read	and cons	idered said motio	n,
IT IS	S HEREBY ORDEREI) that a su	abpoena issue to:	
The Fulton 136 Pryor S Atlanta, GA		y's Office	2	
and that sai	d recipients be directed	to comply	y with said subpo	ena at the date that is
reflected or	n the subpoena, and the	at said re	ecipients are dire	cted that the records
shall be sen	t to this Court.			
SO C	ORDERED, this	day of		., 2019.
			onorable Catherin	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the undersigned has this date electronically filed the foregoing motion with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will automatically send email notification of such filing to the following attorney(s) of record:

All Defense Counsel All AUSAs of record

Respectfully submitted this 26th day of September 2019.

s/Saraliene S. Durrett1800 Peachtree Street, Suite 300Atlanta, GA 30309(404) 433-0855ssd@defendingatl.com